We report magnetotransport measurements on magnetically doped (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ films grown by molecular beam epitaxy. In Hallbar devices, logarithmic dependence on temperature and bias voltage are observed in both the longitudinal and anomalous Hall resistance. The interplay of disorder and electron-electron interactions is found to explain quantitatively the observed logarithmic singularities and is a dominant scattering mechanism in these samples. Submicron scale devices exhibit intriguing quantum oscillations at high magnetic fields with dependence on bias voltage. The observed quantum oscillations can be attributed to bulk and surface transport.

PACS numbers: 74.50.+r 74.20.-z, 74.45.+c, 61.48.Gh 73.43.Jn

I. INTRODUCTION

Breaking of time reversal symmetry in topological insulators can unlock exotic phenomenon such as the quantized anomalous Hall effect$^{1-5}$, giant magneto-optical Kerr and Faraday effects$^6$, the inverse spin-galvanic effect$^7$, image magnetic monopole effect$^7$ and chiral Majorana modes$^8,9,10$. Angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy measurements have revealed presence of a magnetic gap at the Dirac point as well as hedgehog spin texture in magnetic topological insulators$^{11,12}$. Proximity coupling to a magnetic insulator such as EuS, YIG and TIG$^{13-15}$ or introducing magnetic dopants like Mn, Cr and V$^{2,3,16}$ can remove time reversal symmetry. Such efforts have induced long range ferromagnetic order in topological insulators.

In this paper we explore magnetotransport in magnetically doped ultrathin films of (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ to understand the role of different scattering mechanisms. By studying the effect of temperature and voltage bias on the longitudinal and anomalous Hall resistances, we observe logarithmic singularities originating from interplay of electron-electron interaction and disorder. We find that our observed logarithmic corrections quantitatively agree with the Alshuler-Aranov theory of electron-electron interactions. Furthermore, in submicron sized mesoscale devices we observe quantum oscillations that depend on voltage bias and weaken with increasing sample width.

FIG. 1. (color online) (a) Schematics of a magnetic topological insulator device. (b) Optical image of a Hallbar device. Two terminal devices are patterned to study magnetotransport. Simultaneously, Hall bar devices are patterned on the film to study the anomalous Hall effect due to magnetic (vanadium) doping.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The 4 quintuple layers (QLs) thick pristine and V-doped (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ films are grown on SrTiO$_3$ (111) substrate by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). The growth process was monitored in-situ by reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) to ensure high quality films$^{3,17,18}$. To prevent oxidation, a capping layer of 10 nm tellurium was deposited. The devices were fabricated employing standard photolithography and electron-beam lithography techniques. The device schematic and optical image of a Ti/Nb/NbN contacted magnetic topological insulator film is shown in Figs. 1(a)-1(b). The transport measurements were done in a $^4$He-$^3$He dilution refriger-
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Magnetotransport measurements on pristine 4QL (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ films presented in Fig. 2(a) exhibit a dip in the longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ at $B = 0$ T which is attributed to weak antilocalization effect$^{19,20}$. This is because in the presence of strong spin-orbit coupling time reversed trajectories have opposite spin orientations which lead to a destructive interference and a resistance minimum$^{21}$. We measured the bias dependence of longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ in the same device. The results are shown in Fig. 2(b) - Fig. 2(c) for a few different magnetic fields and exhibit a logarithmic dependence on voltage bias. Weak antilocalization is in itself a possible cause of logarithmic correction. However lowering temperature or voltage bias is expected to make weak antilocalization effect more pronounced thereby decreasing resistivity which is inconsistent with Fig. 2(b) - Fig. 2(c).

Further by introducing magnetic impurities, the weak antilocalization effects can be heavily suppressed as has been reported in Fe-doped Bi$_2$Te$_3$ and Cr-doped Bi$_2$Se$_3$ films$^{22-24}$. Fig. 2(d) shows the magnetoresistance in a V-doped (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ has a peak instead of a dip at $B = 0$ T seen in pristine samples. Even when the weak antilocalization effects are suppressed, the longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ in V-doped (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ has a logarithmic dependence on voltage bias as shown in Fig. 2(e) - Fig. 2(f) at different magnetic fields.

Weak localization in disordered two dimensional (2D) systems is also a potential explanation for logarithmic increase in resistance at low temperatures. The existence of weak localization relies on the existence of coherent constructive interference of time reversed trajectories for an electron to return to the origin$^{25}$. Moderate external magnetic fields as well as magnetic impurities, that break time reversal symmetry, are typically enough to suppress logarithmic corrections arising from weak localization$^{26-28}$. However, the logarithmic corrections observed in our experiments persist even at fields of 7.5 T.

A way to identify logarithmic corrections due to weak...
localization is by the absence of logarithmic corrections to $R_{xy}$\cite{26,27,29}. In Fig. 3(a) anomalous Hall measurements are shown without an applied bias. The $R_{xy}^{AH}$ jumps at the coercive field when the magnetization switches its direction. Fig. 3(b)-Fig. 3(c) shows that the logarithmic dependence on voltage bias are present in anomalous Hall resistance $R_{xy}^{AH}$ as well. The data is antisymmetric in magnetic field as shown in Fig. 3(d)-Fig. 3(e) because of which we can rule out spurious $R_{xx}$ contributions. Interestingly, if instead of bias voltage temperature of the sample is changed, similar decrease in resistance $R_{xy}$ is observed as shown in Fig. 3(f). Therefore, weak localization cannot explain the transport behavior that we observe.

Logarithmic corrections to conductance could also arise from scattering off magnetic impurities as in the Kondo effect\cite{30–32}. However, in the ferromagnetic state magnetic spin-flips should become increasingly energetically unfavorable at low temperatures and at large external magnetic fields. More importantly, the fact that logarithmic dependences are also observed in topological insulator thin films in the absence of magnetic dopants\cite{33,34} makes this scenario an unlikely explanation of our findings.

Magneto-transport studies in pristine topological insulator Bi$_2$Se$_3$ found it crucial to include electron-electron interactions\cite{35,36}. We explain why the observed logarithmic singularities are due to electron-electron interactions in the 2D surface states. As first realized by Altshuler and Aronov\cite{47}(AA) disordered 2D electron systems exhibit a breakdown of the Fermi-Liquid theory due to reduced ability of the disordered electron gas to screen the Coulomb interaction. The logarithmic corrections in the AA theory are pervasive and are expected to arise not only in transport properties but also in equilibrium thermodynamic quantities such as specific heat\cite{27}. One of the key differences of the AA corrections with those in the localization theory is that both the longitudinal and Hall resistivities are expected to acquire logarithmic corrections\cite{26,27}. In fact the logarithmic corrections are most easily expressed in terms of conductivities rather than resistivities in the AA theory, because the Hall conductivity is expected to remain unchanged. Specifically one expects the logarithmic corrections in the AA model to be given by\cite{26–28}:

$$\delta\sigma_{xx}(\varepsilon) = \kappa \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \log\left(\frac{\varepsilon \tau}{\hbar}\right), \quad \delta\sigma_{xy}(\varepsilon) = 0,$$

(1)

where $\tau$ is the elastic scattering time, and $\varepsilon$ is an appropriate energy scale that can be chosen to be the largest among the temperature $k_B T$ or the frequency $\hbar \omega$, at which the conductivity is probed. $\kappa$ is a dimensionless number that takes different values for spinless and spinful electrons, and depends on a dimensionless parameter $F$ that characterizes a Hartree contribution to the conductivity corrections\cite{28,38,39}. This parameter takes the following forms for spinless and spinful electrons:

$$\kappa^{\text{spinless}} = \frac{1}{2\pi},$$

(2)

$$\kappa^{\text{spinfull}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (2 - 2F),$$

(3)

For short range interaction, $F=1$ and long range interaction $F=0$\cite{40}. For spin-split bands one expects that for a spin splitting $\Delta \gg k_B T$, the only singular logarithmic terms arise from exchange and $S_z = 0$ Hartree contributions, and the expression for $\kappa$ is\cite{39}:

$$\kappa^{\text{spin-split}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (2 - F) \approx 0.32 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} F\right).$$

(4)

Our magnetic samples are expected to be spin-split, whereas the precise level of spin polarization is unknown to us\cite{27,28}.

The origin of the non-Ohmic behavior that we observe, namely the logarithmic dependence of the conductivity in voltage bias, can be understood by appealing to a simple

FIG. 4. (color online) Transport coefficients in the Hall bar (device H1) as a function of bias. (a) Logarithmic plot for $\sigma_{xx}$ (blue) and $\sigma_{xy}$ (orange) at $B = 1$ T. (b) Magnetic field dependence of $\sigma_{xy}$ at $V_{dc} = 0$. (c),(d) Theoretical model of the magnetic field dependence of $\sigma_{xy}$ and $R_{xx}$. (e),(f) Simulation of dependence of $R_{xx}$ and $R_{xy}$ on bias at $B = 1.5$ T, 4.5 T and 7.5 T as expected from interaction corrections in disordered 2D films.
model of Joule heating\textsuperscript{41}. In the regime where heat dissipated through phonons is negligible and Wiedemann-Franz law is valid, this gives $V^2 = 4L(T^2 - T_0^2)$ where $L$ is the Lorenz number, $T$ is the electron temperature at center of the sample and $T_0$ is the temperature at the contacts\textsuperscript{42}. Assuming temperature of the Joule heated electrons are much higher than their initial temperature, we obtain $V^2 \sim 4LT^2$. This heating effect effectively leads to a replacement of the argument of the logarithm in Eq. (1) by $\varepsilon \sim \max\{AV, k_BT, \hbar\omega\}$, where $V$ is the voltage that drives the transport and $A$ is a constant\textsuperscript{41}. Therefore the expected behavior of the correction to the conductivity for low temperatures dc measurements from the AA theory as a function of voltage is:

$$\delta\sigma_{xx}(V) = \kappa \frac{e^2}{h} \log(V/V_0), \quad \delta\sigma_{xy}(V) = 0. \quad (5)$$

From the data, $\sigma_{xx}$ and $\sigma_{xy}$ is calculated using the relations

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\rho_{xx}}{\rho_{xx} + \rho_{xy}^2}, \quad \sigma_{xy} = \frac{\rho_{yx}}{\rho_{xx} + \rho_{xy}^2} \quad (6)$$

where $\rho_{xx}$ and $\rho_{xy}$ are the resistivities considering the square shaped sample geometry. As illustrated in Fig. 4(a) our data is consistent with logarithmic corrections in $\sigma_{xx}$ while no apparent logarithmic corrections in $\sigma_{xy}$ as expected from the AA theory. The anomalous Hall conductivity is nearly quantized at +/- $e^2/h$ as shown in Fig. 4(b). Anecdotally, we argue that quantization of $\sigma_{xy}^{AH}$ is less sensitive to finite bulk carriers than $R_{xy}^{AH}$.

To quantify the logarithmic behavior we fit the voltage-dependent nonlinear conductivity as (expressing bias in volts):

$$\sigma_j(V) = \sigma_j^0 + \delta\sigma_j \log(V), \quad J = \{xx, xy\} \quad (7)$$

we obtain $\sigma_{xx}^0 \approx 9.17 e^2/h$, $\delta\sigma_{xx} \approx 0.33e^2/h$, and $\sigma_{xy}^0 \approx 1.58e^2/h$, $\delta\sigma_{xy} \approx 0.02e^2/h$. Notice the smallness of the bias dependence of $\sigma_{xy}$ compared to $\sigma_{xx}$. Therefore, considering that it is possible that small systematic errors can arise from mixing of $R_{xx}$ and $R_{xy}$ (e.g. if contacts are slightly misaligned $R_{xx}$ picks a small contribution from $R_{xy}$), we conclude that our data is consistent with $\sigma_{xy}$ with negligible logarithmic bias dependence and while having significant Logarithmic bias dependence on $\sigma_{xx}$, as expected from the AA theory.

Given the different sources of unknown parameters it is hard to estimate for us precisely all of them. If one assumes that the bands are only partially spin split, our measurements imply the following estimate for the sample parameters:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}F\right) \approx 1.0. \quad (8)$$

The above estimate is remarkable because one expects the parameter $F$ to be positive for repulsive interactions. The experimental finding, seems to be consistent with $F \approx 0$\textsuperscript{40}.

A simple modeling of the resistivity can be done by using the expected conductivity behavior from the AA theory. The resistivity is taken to be of the form: $\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{xx}^0 + \delta\sigma_{xx}^0 \log(|V| + V_0)$, where $V_0 \sim 0.4$ mV is essentially a cutoff of the logarithm at small bias (which is a little large compared to the temperature scale), and $\sigma_{xx}^0$ and $\delta\sigma_{xx}^0$ are field and bias independent quantities obtained by linear fitting of the logarithmic plots of the conductivity\textsuperscript{43}. We add a simple description of the AHE in which the Hall conductivity has a jump of $e^2/h$ near zero applied magnetic field in addition to the usual linear term reflecting the classical Hall effect. $\sigma_{xy}$ in the model is presented in Fig. 4(c) and has the form:

$$\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{h} \tanh(B/B_0) + \delta\sigma_{xy}^0 B_0, \quad \text{where } B_0 \sim 0.3 \text{ T} \text{ reflects broadening of the jump of the magnetization as a function of field and } \delta\sigma_{xy}^0 \text{ is field and bias independent. The model is able to reproduce the essential behavior of the resistivities and it is shown in Fig. 4(d) - Fig. 4(f).}

\textbf{FIG. 5.} (color online) Quantum oscillations from magnetoresistance in device D1 of width $W=0.2$ \textmu m.

The transport results discussed above are for larger Hall bar ($\sim 20$ \textmu m) samples. Interestingly, when the device dimension was reduced to submicron range, prominent Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) oscillations were observed. For example, in a 0.2 \textmu m wide device (device D1) measured by two terminal the oscillations were periodic in $1/B$ and have a non-trivial dependence on bias voltage. These quantum oscillations were seen in multiple samples with Ti/Nb/NbN and Ti/Al contacts. In particular, the zero bias minima turn into maxima in resistance at large voltage bias as shown in Fig. 5. The inferred electron density is $9 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ (4.5 $\times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$) for spinful (spinless) Fermions. The period of the SdH could not be changed by applying a backgate or topgate. The screening of the top and bottom gates by
the surface states results in inability to change the Fermi energy of the bulk states as has been observed in other topological materials\cite{44}. An estimate of the electron gas mobility is made from the onset magnetic field of the SdH oscillations $\mu_q \approx \frac{1}{B_0} \approx 6,000$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ for the 200 nm wide device\cite{45}. This mobility is intriguingly large compared to macroscopic samples.

FIG. 6. (color online) (a),(b) The resistance in device D1 is normalized to its zero bias value and normalized to its zero magnetic field value respectively. The evolution is studied with magnetic field and applied bias voltage. The SdH oscillations are present both at small and large bias voltages. However, the zero bias maxima become minima at large bias voltages at a fixed magnetic field and vice versa. (c) A model with two conduction mechanisms in parallel that incorporates logarithmic decay with applied bias of the SdH oscillations on top of a constant background conduction. The resistance is normalized to the zero bias value for comparison to the experimental data. (d) The two conduction model captures the evolution from maxima to minima of the resistance normalized to its zero bias value and normalized to its zero magnetic field value respectively. The evolution is studied systematically with increasing width. Such dependence of visibility of quantum oscillations on channel width is unusual. The quantum oscillations are discussed in further detail in supplementary materials\cite{43}.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied magnetotransport in V-doped (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ and find logarithmic singularities in longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ and anomalous Hall resistance $R_{xy}$ which is well explained quantitatively by quantum corrections due to electron-electron interactions. In submicron scale devices, SdH oscillations are observed where the maxima transition to minima with voltage bias. A simple transport model explains these observations.
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VI. SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS FOR ‘LOGARITHMIC SINGULARITIES AND QUANTUM OSCILLATIONS IN MAGNETICALLY DOPED TOPOLOGICAL INSULATORS’

A. Processing of (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ on SrTiO$_3$ substrate

We developed ebeam fabricated devices of pristine and magnetic topological insulators. This included sev-
eral challenges including SrTiO$_3$ being highly insulating, (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ degrading at elevated temperatures and oxidation of the sample without proper capping layer. Here we report the details of sample processing which would allow several design exploration in the field of topological insulators. The device processing illustrated in Fig. 7 compares favourably to scratched Hall bar devices and does not effect the film quality.

**FIG. 7.** Schematic of the ebeam fabrication process of topological insulators. (a) The etch mask is patterned photolithographically from photoresist S1813 by UV exposure. (b) The unprotected topological insulator film is removed by oxygen plasma. (c) Superconducting or normal metal contacts are ebeam lithographically patterned and metallized. Subsequently, a high $\kappa$ dielectric of HfO$_2$ is grown by atomic layer deposition. (d) A topgate as well as a backgate of Ti/Au is deposited.

1. **Recipe for etching**

To etch 4 quintuple layers of pristine and V-doped (Bi,Sb)$_2$Te$_3$ with 10 nm Te capping layer, an etch mask of photoresist S1813 is used. Using a laser writer, the mask pattern is defined followed by development in developer CD-26. A 5 mins O$_2$ plasma etch removes the topological insulator film exposing the transparent SrTiO$_3$.

2. **Making electrical contact**

SrTiO$_3$ is insulating because of which charging effects are a major concern for high resolution lithography. For patterning the contacts by ebeam lithography, the resist layers of PMMA C6 495 K and PMMA A4 950 K are baked at 100 °C as higher temperatures lead to sample degradation. Then 10 nm of Al is deposited for reducing charging effects which is transparent under scanning electron microscope imaging. After ebeam exposure, the Al is removed in AZ 400K developer in 5 mins and then developed in MIBK : IPA (1 : 3). As the topological insulator film is protected from oxidation by an insulating capping layer, an in-situ argon plasma etch is done before deposition of Ti(10 nm)/Au(100 nm) or Ti (10 nm)/Nb(5 nm)/NbN(50 nm). Optimization of the etch time is necessary to make good electrical contact to the film.

3. **Making topgated devices**

After electrical contacts are made, a 20 nm HfO$_2$ dielectric is grown by atomic layer deposition (ALD) at 80 °C. A Ti (10 nm)/Au(100 nm) topgate is deposited on top of the HfO$_2$ dielectric. The topgated devices can be effectively tuned through the charge neutrality point.

4. **Making backgates**

After the topside fabrication is complete, the device is coated with PMMA C6 495 K baked at 100 °C and flipped over to deposit Ti(10 nm)/Au(100 nm) backgate. The protecting ebeam resist is then dissolved and the device is wirebonded.

**B. Measuring the contact resistance by transfer length method**

The two terminal resistance to the pristine and magnetic topological insulator film is measured as a function of various gap sizes $W$. By extrapolating to gap size $W = 0$, the residual resistance is measured. The equation used to determine the contact resistances by transfer length method are$^{53,54}$:

$$R_{total} = \rho_{sheet} \frac{W}{d} + 2R_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $\rho_{sheet}$ is the sheet resistance. In this technique, we conclude that the contact resistance is below 50 $\Omega$ at 4.2 K and makes good electrical contact to the film.

**C. Topgating of magneto-oscillations**

We integrate ALD grown high-k dielectric on pristine and magnetic topological insulators which allows to tune the Fermi-energy. The ALD deposition of 20 nm HfO$_2$ dielectric is done at 80° C to avoid any sample degradation due to overheating. All topgated devices could be tuned through charge neutrality. Intriguingly, the magnetic field dependence of the quantum oscillations was independent of the topgate voltage as shown in Fig. 8.
D. Estimating density and mean free path

Fig. 9 shows the Hall resistance $R_{xy}$ for Hall-bar sample (taken near zero bias) as a function of magnetic field. By making a linear fit to the large field values (shown as a dashed line) we can estimate the sample density using the semiclassical value of the Hall resistivity:

$$R_{xy} = \frac{B}{ne\sigma_{xx}}.$$ 

From this we infer a carrier density of $n \approx 8.3 \times 10^{17} \text{m}^{-2}$. 

FIG. 9. (color online) $R_{xy}$ for Hall-bar sample as a function of magnetic field.

To estimate the elastic mean free path we use the values of the longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ for the Hall-bar sample (taken near zero bias) as depicted in Fig. 10, using the formula:

$$k_F l = \frac{2R_K}{g\rho_{xx}},$$

where $R_K$ the Von-Klitzing’s constant $R_K = 25\text{k}\Omega$ and $g = \{1, 2\}$ for spinless/spinfull electrons. Assuming the sample to be squared (we only want a rough order of magnitude for the mean free path), and taking $R_{xx} \sim 4.3k\Omega$, we obtain $k_F l \sim \{12, 6\}$ for spinless/spinfull electrons. So, we expect an elastic mean free path of about $l \sim \{3.7nm, 2.6nm\}$. This estimate implies that the sample is rather clean, and perturbative calculations on $1/(k_F l)$ are expected to capture the essential transport behavior.

FIG. 10. (color online) $R_{xx}$ for Hall-bar sample as a function of magnetic field.

To quantify this more precisely we estimate the localization length, which for a 2D system can be estimated as

$$\xi \sim l \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi k_F l\right)$$

and is about $\xi \sim \{3.2 \times 10^{-5}m, 0.6m\}$ for our Hall bar samples. This length scale needs to be compared with a density diffusion length relevant for the Altshuler-Aronov theory of interaction corrections, which, near zero bias, can be taken to be controlled by the temperature of the sample and is given by

$$L_\epsilon \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar D}{k_B T}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar v_F l}{2k_BT}}, \quad D = \frac{\tau v_F^2}{2} = \frac{lv_F^2}{2}$$

For a typical sample temperature of $T \sim 50\text{mK}$ and using the fermi velocity of a TI with 4 QL’s ($v_F \sim 4.5 \times 10^5 \text{m/s}$), we obtain an interaction length: $L_\epsilon \sim \{3 \times 10^{-7}m, 3.6 \times 10^{-7}m\}$. Therefore the dimensionless parameter $\log(L_\epsilon/l)/\log(\xi/l) \sim \{0.5, 0.2\}$ is found to be reasonably small lending confidence to the applicability of the Altshuler-Aronov theory to our samples. Apart from the difference in localization lengths, the order of magnitude of the estimates of transport coefficients are not very sensitive on whether we assume spinless or spin-full electrons.

E. Electronic structure and range of densities for spinless vs spinful picture

A simple model for the electronic dispersion of the two dimensional electron system arising in thin 2D Ti slabs starts for two flavors of Dirac fermions describing...
the states in top and bottom surfaces coupled via tunneling. This model is supplemented by a ferromagnetic exchange splitting, and is capable of predicting the quantum anomalous Hall state arising in these systems. The Hamiltonian can be written as:

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{U}{2} + M_0 & iv(k_x - ik_y) & t & 0 \\
-iv(k_x + ik_y) & \frac{U}{2} - M_0 & 0 & t \\
t & 0 & -\frac{U}{2} + M_0 & -iv(k_x - ik_y) \\
0 & t & iv(k_x + ik_y) & -\frac{U}{2} - M_0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(M_0\) is the exchange splitting induced energy scale, \(t\) is the tunneling strength between top and bottom surfaces, \(U\) is a possible bias between top and bottom layers developed when an electric field is present across the TI slab, and \(v\) is the typical Dirac velocity of the surface states of the TI. There are four bands associated with this Hamiltonian:

\[E_k = \pm \sqrt{v^2k^2 + M_0^2 + t^2 + (U/2)^2} \pm \sqrt{v^2k^2U^2 + M_0^2(U^2 + U^2)}.\]

Assuming the field across the slab is negligible (\(U \approx 0\)), then the dispersion reduces to:

\[E_k = \pm \sqrt{v^2k^2 + (|M_0| \pm |t|)^2}.\]

The condition for the stability of the topologically non-trivial AQH insulator is: \(|M_0| > |t|\) (the state with \(|M_0| < |t|\) has a Chern number 0 and is a trivial insulator). When the system is doped and becomes metallic, the condition the chemical potential must satisfy in order to guarantee that a single band is occupied is \(|M_0| + |t| > \mu > |M_0| - |t|\). This condition translates in terms of densities into:

\[|n| < \frac{|M_0||t|}{\pi v^2 \hbar^2}.\]

In other words, for densities within this range a single band is occupied and otherwise two bands are occupied. An estimate for \(t \approx 0.06eV\) for a slab of 4QL and \(v = 4.5 \times 10^6m/s\). The density (using only \(t\)) is \(\frac{2.5}{\pi} \times 3.3 \times 10^{10}cm^{-2}\).


See Supplementary Materials at.
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